



|                                 |                               |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| <b>Study Program</b>            | Medical Engineering & eHealth |
| <b>Organizational structure</b> | Full time (VZ)                |

## Assessment of Master's Thesis - First Assessor

|  |   |                       |            |
|--|---|-----------------------|------------|
| <b>Title of Master's Thesis</b>  | Enhancement of a mechanical eye model setup for IOL testing |                       |            |
| <b>The plagiarism check has been carried out and confirms that the central content of the thesis has been written independently to the required extent (cf. part of the Statutes on Studies Act Provisions / Examination Regulations, § 20 Para. 2 and 3).</b> | Yes   |                       |            |
| <b>Name of student</b>   | Bc. Ferdinand Dvorak  | <b>Student number</b> | 2110228010 |
| <b>Assessed by</b>   | FH-Prof. Dipl.-Ing. Dr. Lukas Traxler BSc.                  | <b>Date</b>           | 02.11.2022 |

| Criteria   |  | Points        |
|--|--|---------------|
| <b>1. Subject</b>                                    | The subject was handled in a suitable manner for a Master's thesis (well-structured, meaningful research questions or tasks, etc.)   | 7,5           |
| <b>2. Solution Approach</b>                          | The approach to the solution is suitable for the topic and corresponds to the state of the art.  | 7,5           |
| <b>3. Methods</b>                                    | The procedure is appropriate in relation to the subject-specific orientation of the thesis, justified on the basis of the specialist literature and correctly implemented.                                       | 5             |
| <b>4. Results &amp; Discussion of the conclusion</b> | The results are interpreted in the light of the research question and critically discussed with regard to their added value for research and/or professional practice. Visualisations support the argumentation. | 7,5           |
| <b>5. Independence</b>                               | The thesis was written in an independent way (e.g. independent solutions to the questions and problems that occurred)  | 7,5           |
| <b>6. Structure</b>                                  | The thesis is structured coherently.   | 7,5           |
| <b>7. Style</b>                                      | Spelling and grammar are correct. The use of technical language is appropriate. The requirements for gender-appropriate language are implemented according to the applicable guidelines.                         | 10            |
| <b>8. Form</b>                                       | The requirements for structure, lists, typesetting and graphics or tables are implemented in accordance with the applicable guidelines.  | 7,5           |
| <b>9. Sources</b>                                    | Sources and literature are relevant for the scientific discussion of the topic of the thesis, reflect the current state of the art and cover the topic.  | 10            |
| <b>10. Citation Rules</b>                            | The citation rules are correctly applied and implemented throughout.   | 10            |
| <b>Total points</b>                                  |  | <b>80/100</b> |

Criteria:

|                          |                                     |                                       |                               |                        |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|
| <50% <b>Insufficient</b> | >=50% and<br><63% <b>Sufficient</b> | >=63% and<br><75% <b>Satisfactory</b> | >=75% and<br><88% <b>Good</b> | >=88% <b>Excellent</b> |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|

If the number of points for the criteria "1 - 5" or "6 - 10" is below 50% in total, the Master's Thesis is to be assessed as negative overall.

If a criterion is assessed with 0 points, the Master's Thesis is to be assessed as negative overall.

The second assessor's assessment has been submitted and is part of the final grade.

🔗 To assessment of second assessor ([https://cis.technikum-wien.at/index.ci.php/extensions/FHC-Core-Projektarbeitsbeurteilung/Projektarbeitsbeurteilung?projektarbeit\\_id=34143&uid=me21m010&zweitbetreuer\\_id=85441](https://cis.technikum-wien.at/index.ci.php/extensions/FHC-Core-Projektarbeitsbeurteilung/Projektarbeitsbeurteilung?projektarbeit_id=34143&uid=me21m010&zweitbetreuer_id=85441))

**Grade:** Good (2)

Reason (only required for the grade "insufficient"):

---

Sent on 02.11.2022