

# SEMI-GLOBAL SOLUTIONS TO MIXED-TYPE FUNCTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

Gabriela Vážanová

Doctoral Degree Programme (2.), FEEC BUT

E-mail: xvincu00@stud.feec.vutbr.cz

Supervised by: Josef Diblík

E-mail: diblik@feec.vutbr.cz

**Abstract:** The purpose of this paper is to give sufficient conditions for existence of right semi-global solutions to mixed-type functional differential equations. We also give an example to illustrate applicability of the result.

**Keywords:** semi-global solutions, mixed-type functional differential equations, delayed argument, advanced argument, monotone iterative method

## 1 INTRODUCTION

For  $r > 0$  let  $C_r := C([0, r], \mathbb{R}^n)$  be the Banach space of continuous functions from the interval  $[0, r]$  to  $\mathbb{R}^n$  equipped with the supremum norm

$$\|\psi\|_r = \sup_{\alpha \in [0, r]} |\psi(\alpha)|, \quad \psi \in C([0, r], \mathbb{R}^n),$$

where  $|\cdot|$  is the maximum norm in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

For a function  $y = y(t)$ , continuous on an interval  $[t - d, t]$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $d > 0$  we define a delayed-type function  $y_t \in C_d$  by formula  $y_t(\tau) = y(t - \tau)$  where  $\tau \in [0, d]$ . Similarly, for a function  $y = y(t)$ , continuous on an interval  $[t, t + a]$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a > 0$ , we define an advanced-type function  $y^t \in C_a$  by formula  $y^t(\sigma) = y(t + \sigma)$  where  $\sigma \in [0, a]$ . Throughout the rest of the paper we assume that  $d > 0$  and  $a > 0$  are fixed.

In this paper we will consider a system of mixed-type functional differential equations

$$\dot{y}(t) = f(t, y_t, y^t), \quad (1)$$

where  $f: \mathcal{J} \times C_d \times C_a \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is a continuous quasi-bounded functional which satisfies a local Lipschitz condition with respect to the second and the third argument. For definitions of quasi-boundedness, etc., we refer to [3].

Let  $t_0$  be fixed,  $\mathcal{J} := [t_0, \infty)$  and  $\mathcal{J}_d := [t_0 - d, \infty)$ . A continuous function  $y: \mathcal{J}_d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is a right semi-global solution of (1) if it is continuously differentiable on  $\mathcal{J}$  and satisfies (1) on  $\mathcal{J}$ .

By  $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n$  ( $\mathbb{R}_{> 0}^n$ ) we denote the set of all componentwise nonnegative (positive) vectors  $v$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , i.e.,  $v = (v^1, \dots, v^n)$  with  $v^i \geq 0$  ( $v^i > 0$ ) for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . For  $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we denote  $u \leq v$  if  $v - u \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n$ ,  $u \ll v$  if  $v - u \in \mathbb{R}_{> 0}^n$ , and  $u < v$  if  $u \leq v$  and  $u \neq v$ . In order to avoid unnecessary additional definitions, we use, whenever the meaning is not ambiguous, the same symbols  $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n$  ( $\mathbb{R}_{> 0}^n$ ) to denote relevant subsets of the set  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

## 2 MAIN RESULT

Below we will look for a solution of system (1) in the form

$$y(t) = I(k, \lambda)(t), \quad (2)$$

where  $I$  is a mapping,  $I: \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n \times C(\mathcal{J}_d, \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow C(\mathcal{J}_d, \mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

$$I(k, \lambda) = (I_1(k, \lambda), I_2(k, \lambda), \dots, I_n(k, \lambda))$$

defined as

$$I_i(k, \lambda)(t) := k_i \exp\left(\int_{t_0-d}^t \lambda_i(s) ds\right),$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $t \in \mathcal{J}_d$ .

Substituting (2) into (1) we have

$$(\text{diag}(I(k, \lambda)(t)))\lambda(t) = f(t, I(k, \lambda)_t, I(k, \lambda)^t),$$

for  $t \in \mathcal{J}$ , by  $\text{diag}$  we denote a diagonal matrix. Consequently,

$$\lambda(t) = (\text{diag}(I(k, \lambda)(t)))^{-1} \cdot f(t, I(k, \lambda)_t, I(k, \lambda)^t). \quad (3)$$

Note that the matrix  $(\text{diag}(I(k, \lambda)(t)))^{-1}$  exists because the matrix  $(\text{diag}(I(k, \lambda)(t)))$  is regular. Equation (3) is an operator equation with respect to  $\lambda$ . A function  $\lambda \in C(\mathcal{J}_d, \mathbb{R}^n)$  is called a solution of equation (3) on  $\mathcal{J}_d$  if (3) is valid for all  $t \in \mathcal{J}$ .

Let us define an operator

$$T: C(\mathcal{J}_d, \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow C(\mathcal{J}_d, \mathbb{R}^n),$$

where

$$(T\lambda)(t) = (\text{diag}(I(k, \lambda)(t)))^{-1} \cdot f(t, I(k, \lambda)_t, I(k, \lambda)^t) \quad (4)$$

for  $t \in \mathcal{J}$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let us assume that the following holds:*

- (i) *For any  $M \geq 0$ ,  $\theta > t_0 + a$  there exists a constant  $K$ , such that for all  $t, t' \in [t_0, \theta - a]$  and for any continuous function  $\lambda: [t_0 - d, \theta] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $|\lambda| \leq M$ ,*

$$|(T\lambda)(t) - (T\lambda)(t')| \leq K|t - t'|. \quad (5)$$

- (ii) *There exist  $k \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n$  and continuous functions  $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{U}: \mathcal{J}_d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  satisfying here  $\mathcal{L}(t) \leq \mathcal{U}(t)$ , and*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(t) &\leq (T\mathcal{L})(t), \\ \mathcal{U}(t) &\geq (T\mathcal{U})(t) \end{aligned}$$

*on  $\mathcal{J}$  and*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(t) &\leq (T\mathcal{L})(t_0), \\ \mathcal{U}(t) &\geq (T\mathcal{U})(t_0) \end{aligned}$$

*on  $[t_0 - d, t_0]$ .*

(iii) For any continuous functions  $\lambda, \mu: \mathcal{J}_d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  the inequality  $\lambda(t) \leq \mu(t)$ ,  $t \in \mathcal{J}_d$  implies

$$(T\lambda)(t) \leq (T\mu)(t)$$

for  $t \in \mathcal{J}$ .

Then there exists a right semi-global solution  $y: \mathcal{J}_d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  of (1) satisfying

$$I(k, \mathcal{L})(t) \leq y(t) \leq I(k, \mathcal{U})(t) \quad (6)$$

for  $t \in \mathcal{J}_d$  and such that

$$y(t_0 - d) = k.$$

*Proof.* We need to show, that the equation (3), i.e.,

$$\lambda(t) = (T\lambda)(t) := \left( \text{diag}(I(k, \lambda)(t))^{-1} \right) \cdot f(t, I(k, \lambda)_t, I(k, \lambda)^t), \quad t \in \mathcal{J}$$

has a solution  $\lambda \in C(\mathcal{J}_d, \mathbb{R})$  which satisfies  $\mathcal{L}(t) \leq \lambda(t) \leq \mathcal{U}(t)$  for  $t \in \mathcal{J}_d$ .

For  $\theta > t_0 + a$ , we denote by

$$L_\theta := C([t_0 - d, \theta], \mathbb{R}^n)$$

the Banach space of the continuous functions from  $[t_0 - d, \theta]$  into  $\mathbb{R}^n$  equipped with the maximum norm. Further, we introduce the closed, normal cone

$$\mathcal{K}_\theta := C([t_0 - d, \theta], \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n)$$

of the continuous functions from  $[t_0 - d, \theta]$  into  $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n$ . The cone defines a partial ordering in  $L_\theta$ : for  $\lambda, \mu \in L_\theta$ , we say that  $\lambda \leq \mu$  if and only if  $\mu - \lambda \in \mathcal{K}_\theta$ .

Let us define an operator  $T_\theta: L_\theta \rightarrow L_\theta$  by

$$(T_\theta \lambda)(t) = \begin{cases} (T\lambda)(t_0) & t \in [t_0 - d, t_0), \\ (T\lambda)(t) & t \in [t_0, \theta - a), \\ (T\lambda)(\theta - a) & t \in [\theta - a, \theta]. \end{cases}$$

The operator  $T_\theta$  is well-defined and, according to condition (iii), monotone increasing. Further, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_\theta(t) &:= \begin{cases} \mathcal{L}(t) & t \in [t_0 - d, \theta - a), \\ \mathcal{L}(\theta - a) & t \in [\theta - a, \theta], \end{cases} \\ \mu_\theta(t) &:= \begin{cases} \mathcal{U}(t) & t \in [t_0 - d, \theta - a), \\ \mathcal{U}(\theta - a) & t \in [\theta - a, \theta]. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Then, we construct a monotone and bounded sequences

$$\nu_\theta \leq T_\theta \nu_\theta \leq T_\theta^2 \nu_\theta \leq \dots \leq T_\theta^2 \mu_\theta \leq T_\theta \mu_\theta \leq \mu_\theta.$$

Now, we are going to show that  $T_\theta$  is compact and therefore there exist limit functions  $\underline{\lambda}_\theta$  and  $\bar{\lambda}_\theta$  such that

$$\nu_\theta \leq \underline{\lambda}_\theta = T_\theta \underline{\lambda}_\theta \leq T_\theta \bar{\lambda}_\theta = \bar{\lambda}_\theta \leq \mu_\theta. \quad (7)$$

Let  $M$  be a bounded subset of  $L_\theta$ . We need to prove that  $T_\theta M$  is relatively compact subset of  $L$ . According to Arzela-Ascoli Theorem, it is enough to show that  $T_\theta M$  is bounded and equicontinuous. Because of the definition of the operator  $T_\theta$  the equicontinuity has to be checked in the following six cases:

1.  $t, t' \in [t_0 - r_1, t_0)$
2.  $t, t' \in [t_0, \theta - r_2)$
3.  $t, t' \in [\theta - r_2, \theta]$
4.  $t \in [t_0 - r_1, t_0), t' \in [t_0, \theta - r_2)$
5.  $t \in [t_0 - r_1, t_0), t' \in [\theta - r_2, \theta]$
6.  $t \in [t_0, \theta - r_2), t' \in [\theta - r_2, \theta]$

For example, if  $t \in [t_0 - d, t_0), t' \in [t_0, \theta - a)$ , using the inequality (5) we obtain

$$|(T_\theta \lambda)(t) - (T_\theta \lambda)(t')| = |(T\lambda)(t_0) - (T\lambda)(t')| \leq K|t_0 - t'| \leq K|t - t'|.$$

The remaining estimations can be obtained in a similar way. Now, we may conclude that  $T_\theta$  is equicontinuous.

Next, the boundedness of  $T_\theta$  is guaranteed due to the quasi-boundedness of  $f$  and the fact that

$$(I_i(k, \lambda)(t))^{-1} = k_i^{-1} \exp\left(-\int_{t_0-d}^t \lambda_i(s) ds\right) \leq k_i^{-1} \exp(M \cdot (\theta - t_0 + d)), \quad (8)$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $|\lambda| \leq M$ .

So we have shown that  $T_\theta$  is compact. Therefore the sequences  $(T_\theta^m \nu_\theta)_{m=0}^\infty$  and  $(T_\theta^m \mu_\theta)_{m=0}^\infty$  have limit functions  $\underline{\lambda}_\theta$  and  $\bar{\lambda}_\theta$  satisfying inequality (7).

It is easy to see that

$$(T_\Theta \lambda)|_{[t_0-d, \Theta-a]} = (T_\theta \lambda)|_{[t_0-d, \theta-a]}$$

for  $\Theta \geq \theta$  and  $\lambda \in L_\Theta$ . Therefore,

$$\underline{\lambda}_\Theta|_{[t_0-d, \Theta-a]} = \underline{\lambda}_\theta|_{[t_0-d, \theta-a]},$$

$$\bar{\lambda}_\Theta|_{[t_0-d, \Theta-a]} = \bar{\lambda}_\theta|_{[t_0-d, \theta-a]}$$

for  $\Theta \geq \theta$ .

Let us define the functions  $\underline{\lambda}, \bar{\lambda} \in C(\mathcal{J}_d, \mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\underline{\lambda}(t) := \begin{cases} \underline{\lambda}_\theta(t) & t \in [t_0 - d, \theta - a), \\ \underline{\lambda}_{\Theta(t)}(t) & t \in [\theta - a, \infty) \end{cases}$$

and

$$\bar{\lambda}(t) := \begin{cases} \bar{\lambda}_\theta(t) & t \in [t_0 - d, \theta - a), \\ \bar{\lambda}_{\Theta(t)}(t) & t \in [\theta - a, \infty) \end{cases}$$

where  $\Theta(t) = t + a$ . The defined functions  $\bar{\lambda}$  and  $\underline{\lambda}$  satisfy

$$\mathcal{L}(t) \leq \underline{\lambda}(t) \leq \bar{\lambda}(t) \leq \mathcal{U}(t), \quad t \in \mathcal{J}_d, \quad (9)$$

$$\underline{\lambda}(t) = (T\underline{\lambda})(t)$$

and

$$\bar{\lambda}(t) = (T\bar{\lambda})(t)$$

for  $t \in \mathcal{J}$ .

The proof will be completed by choosing, for example,  $\lambda = \underline{\lambda}$  and the searched solution will be  $y = I(k, \underline{\lambda})$ . The inequality (6) holds because of (9).

□

### 3 EXAMPLE

Consider a linear equation

$$\dot{y}(t) = - \left( 2 - \frac{2}{\pi} \arctan t \right) \cdot y(t-2) + \left( 3 - e^{-t^2} \right) \cdot y \left( t + \frac{1}{10} \right). \quad (10)$$

In this case

$$f(t, y_t, y') = - \left( 2 - \frac{2}{\pi} \arctan t \right) \cdot y(t-2) + \left( 3 - e^{-t^2} \right) \cdot y \left( t + \frac{1}{10} \right).$$

Then, according to (4), the corresponding operator  $T$  is defined as

$$(T\lambda)(t) = - \left( 2 - \frac{2}{\pi} \arctan t \right) \exp \left( \int_t^{t-2} \lambda(s) ds \right) + \left( 3 - e^{-t^2} \right) \exp \left( \int_t^{t+1/10} \lambda(s) ds \right).$$

The operator  $T$  is equicontinuous and monotone increasing. (Details, how to prove it, may be found in [1], [2]). That means, assumptions (i) and (iii) of Theorem 1 are valid. Set  $\mathcal{L} = 1$  and  $\mathcal{U} = 10$ . Then, for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  holds

$$(T\mathcal{L})(t) = - \left( 2 - \frac{2}{\pi} \arctan t \right) \cdot e^{-2} + \left( 3 - e^{-t^2} \right) \cdot e^{1/10} \geq -3e^{-2} + 2e^{1/10} \geq 1 = \mathcal{L},$$

$$(T\mathcal{U})(t) = - \left( 2 - \frac{2}{\pi} \arctan t \right) \cdot e^{-20} + \left( 3 - e^{-t^2} \right) \cdot e \leq \left( 3 - e^{-t^2} \right) \cdot e \leq 10 = \mathcal{U}.$$

So, assumption (ii) of Theorem 1 holds. These expressions were calculated online by Wolfram Alpha software (see [4]).

Therefore, by Theorem 1, for every fixed  $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  there exists a solution of equation (10) on  $[t_0 - 2, \infty)$  such that

$$k \cdot \exp(t - t_0 + 2) \leq y(t) \leq k \cdot \exp(10(t - t_0 + 2)).$$

Moreover, this solution satisfies  $y(t_0 - 2) = k$ .

### 4 CONCLUSION

In this paper we have discussed existence of right semi-global solutions to mixed-type functional differential equations and formulated conditions under which such solutions exist. Moreover, upper and lower bound for solutions are derived.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author was supported by the Grant FEKT-S-17-4225 of Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Communication, BUT.

### REFERENCES

- [1] Diblík, J., Kocsch, N.: Sufficient conditions for the existence of global solutions of delayed differential equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, vol. 318, no. 1, 2006, p. 611–625
- [2] Diblík, J., Kúdelčíková, M.: Two classes of asymptotically different positive solutions to advanced differential equations via two different fixed-point principles. In: *Math. Meth. Appl. Sci.*, vol. 40, 2017, 1422–1437
- [3] Driver, R.: *Ordinary and delay differential equations*. Applied mathematical sciences. Springer-Verlag, 1977
- [4] Wolfram Alpha [online software]. Retrieved from <<https://wolframalpha.com>>, 2018